## <u>Government 305-1</u> <u>Grand Strategy and International Order (Departmental Seminar)</u>

Tuesdays & Thursdays, 15.30-16.45, ICC 211B

Spring 2020

Daniel Nexon 205 Mortara Center Email: <u>dhn2@georgetown.edu</u> Skype: dnexon Twitter: @dhnexon Phone: 202-687-2273 Office Hours: Tuesdays, 13.00-14.00, Tuesdays, or by appointment

During his successful presidential campaign, Donald Trump repeatedly argued that the existing international order weakens the United States. Previous American presidents and diplomats, he claimed, struck terrible international bargains on trade, arms control, and alliances. Since assuming office, Trump's foreign-policy preferences have been, at best, partially translated into concrete policy outcomes. But his routine disparagement of the basic orientations and commitments of American hegemony and liberal order has produced significant doubts about American leadership.

These doubts coincide with major developments outside of the United States. The People's Republic of China is now, by some measures, the world's largest economy. Under President Xi Jinping, China has grown more assertive in its efforts to shape regional and global international relations. Many observers consider the Belt and Road Initiative (BRI) and the establishment of the Asian Infrastructure Investment Bank, (AIIB) as parts of a broader attempt to reorder international relations along Beijing's preferred lines. Russia, meanwhile, has emerged as a more direct challenger to the current texture of international order; Moscow uses a variety of instruments to disrupt and undermine American hegemony and liberal order. Meanwhile, the European Union (EU) still suffers the aftershocks of the 2008 Great Recession, now further complicated by the United Kingdom's "Brexit" referendum and its subsequent triggering of the Article 50 withdrawal process. Some see events in the EU as part of a wider populist backlash against liberal international order.

This class aims to provide students with some of the background necessary to understand current debates about grand strategy. Although some of our focus is on the United States—the country that remains the world's dominant power—we look at broader history, and explore theoretical propositions that extend beyond the American experience.

Please note that this is a Departmental Seminar. Department Seminars feature intense class discussion and substantial reading and writing assignments, designed to help students write persuasively on political topics. Therefore, all Government courses labeled "Department Seminar" fulfill the College's requirement for one "Integrated Writing" course in the major.

# **Requirements and Policies**

#### **Readings and Class Participation**

I will often conduct short lectures during a class session, but the course is taught primarily as a seminar. Students are required to contribute to the intellectual and educational life of the class via participation in class discussions and, as appropriate, small-group exercises. I expect students to complete all of the readings most of the time, and at least most of the readings all of the time.

The above constitute the minimum requirements for passing this component of the class. Completion of them does not entitle you to an "A" for the "intensive discussion" component of the course grade. These components are worth 35% of your grade.

### Writing Requirements

- Two short (750-1k word) essays that engage in a critical analysis of a single essay or an application of theories to cases – as specified in the assignments on Canvas. The first is due January 27 by 23.59, the second is due February 27 by 23.59. Both must be submitted to Canvas. These papers are worth 25% of your grade.
- 2. One final paper (around 5k words, but longer is okay), which must be uploaded to Canvas by May 8 at 23.59. You should begin discussing your essay with me as soon as possible. I anticipate four major baskets of paper topics: ones that assess the sources or effects of historical cases; ones that do so for contemporary cases; ones that assess competing policy proposals; and ones that evaluates competing theories across multiple cases. Worth 40% of your grade.

Use Chicago-style author-date (in-line) for your references. For example, Smith (2005, 50) argues that in-line citations with references sections are annoying, but they have the virtue of making it easy to figure out sources (George 1998a, 35-36; 1998b, 10-11; and Michaelson 2007). Ask me about the virtues of Zotero or other free citation-management software.

### **General Policies**

- You are bound by Georgetown's honor code. Plagiarism or other instances of academic dishonesty will not be tolerated. I put all writing assignments through plagiarism-detection software.
- The subject line of emails to me should begin with "Gov 305-01." This will ensure that they are automatically flagged and make it much less likely that I will miss them. But I do miss emails, and so you should ping me again if you don't hear from me within 24 hours.

#### Learning Goals

- Improved analytic writing skills; and
- Better understanding of key themes addressed in the course.

#### Notes on the Readings

- You are responsible for procuring copies of the book. I violated my policy of never ordering books through the bookstore and wound up with the wrong books. The books you need copies of are:
  - 1) William Murray et al. eds. *The Making of Strategy: Rulers, States, And War.* Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1994.
  - 2) Stephen Ward, *Status and the Challenge of Rising Powers*. Cambridge, Cambridge University Press, 2020 [2017]. \*The paperback is due out this month, and you won't need it until late February, so we should be okay.
- Other texts, as marked, are available via hyperlink. One of these texts is a PDF of my forthcoming book. I make this available with the understanding that it will *not* find its way into the wild.
- Readings marked with an Rec are recommended rather than required.
- Aspects of this class, given current uncertainties, remain fluid. I will notify you whenever I update the syllabus, and each syllabus will have a version number in the title.

### Other Stuff

- Class does not meet on February 18, February 27, March 24, or March 26.
- On January 28, I am supposed to participate in a Cato forum on the future of progressive foreign policy. It starts at 17.00, so we'll have a slightly abridged session. If anyone is a masochist, and wants to attend (it is, I suppose, on point for the course), let me know.

### **Schedule**

January 9 [R]	Introduction
January 14 [T]	What is Grand Strategy? Part I
	Feaver, Peter. 2009. "What Is Grand Strategy and Why Do We Need It?" Foreign
	Policy (blog). April 8, 2009.
	Murray, Williamson. 2012. "Thoughts on Grand Strategy" in Murray et al. eds, The
	Shaping of Grand Strategy, 1-33. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
	*Murray, Williamson and Mark Grimsley. " <u>Introduction: On Strategy</u> " in Murray Williamson et al., eds. <i>The Making of Strategy</i> , 1-32. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1994.
	Edelstein, David M., and Ronald R. Krebs. 2015. "Delusions of Grand Strategy"
	Foreign Affairs, November/December: 109-116.
January 16 [R]	What is Grand Strategy? Part II
	Kagan, Kimberly. 2006. " <u>Redefining Roman Grand Strategy</u> " The Journal of Military History 70 (2): 333–62.
	Goddard, Stacie E., and Ronald R. Krebs. 2015. "Rhetoric, Legitimation, and
	Grand Strategy" Security Studies 24 (1): 5-36.
	Silove, Nina. 2018. "Beyond the Buzzword: The Three Meanings of 'Grand
	Strategy" Security Studies 27 (1): 27–57.
	Balzacq, Thierry, Peter Dombrowski, and Simon Reich. 2019. " <u>Is Grand Strategy a</u> <u>Research Program? A Review Essay</u> ." <i>Security Studies</i> 28 (1): 1–29.
January 21 [T]	The Theory of Hegemonic Wars
	Gilpin, Robert. 1988. " <u>The Theory of Hegemonic War</u> " The Journal of Interdisciplinary History 18 (4): 591–613.
	*Kagan, Donald 1994. "Athenian Strategy in the Peloponnesian War" in Murray et
	al., The Making of Strategy, 24-55. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
	Schweller, Randall L., and William C. Wohlforth. 2000. "Power Test: Evaluating
	Realism in Response to the End of the Cold War" Security Studies 9 (3): 60-
	107.
	Rec Lemke, Douglas. 2002. Regions of War and Peace. Cambridge: Cambridge
	University Press, <u>chap 2</u> .
January 23 [R]	Balance-of-Power Theory
	Walt, Stephen M. 1995. "Alliance Formation and the Balance of Power" International
	Security 9(4): 3-43.
	Schweller, Randall M. "Bandwagoning for Profit: Bringing the Revisionist State
	Back In" International Security 19(1): 72-107.
January 27 [M]	First Short Essay Due by 23.59.

January 28 [T]	The 'Spanish Bid for Mastery'
	Kennedy, Paul. 1987. The Rise and Fall of the Great Powers: Economic Change and Military Conflict from 1500 to 2000. New York: Random House, <u>Chap</u> <u>2</u> .
	*Parker, Geoffrey. 1994. "The Making of Strategy in Habsburg Spain: Philip II's 'bid for mastery,' 1556-1598'' in Murray et al., <i>The Making of Strategy</i> , 115- 150.
January 30 [R]	Early Modern England and France
	<ul> <li>*Matbly, William S. 1994. "The Origins of Global Strategy: England from 1558 to 1713", in Murray Williamson et al., eds. <i>The Making of Strategy</i>, 151-177. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1994.</li> <li>*Lynn, John A. 1994 "A Quest for Glory: the Formation of Strategy Under Louis XIV, 1661-1715", in Murray Williamson et al., eds. <i>The Making of Strategy</i>, 178-204. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1994.</li> </ul>
February 4 [T]	The Concert System and the Balance of Power
	<ul> <li>Jervis, Robert. 1985. "From Balance to Concert: A Study of International Security <u>Cooperation</u>" World Politics 38 (1): 58–79.</li> <li>Schroeder, Paul W. 1986. "The 19th-Century International System: Changes in the <u>Structure</u>" World Politics 39 (1): 1–26.</li> <li>Mitzen, Jennifer. 2005. "Reading Habermas in Anarchy: Multilateral Diplomacy and <u>Global Public Spheres</u>" American Political Science Review 99(3): 401-417.</li> </ul>
February 6 [R]	What is International Order? (Part I)
	<ul> <li>Bull, Hedley. 1977. The Anarchical Society: A Study of Order in World Politics. London: Macmillan, <u>chaps 1-3</u>.</li> <li>Reus-Smit, Christian. 1997. "<u>Constructing Anarchy: The Constitutional Structure of International Society and the Nature of Fundamental Institutions</u>" International Organization 51 (4): 555–89.</li> </ul>
February 11 [T]	What is International Order? (Part II)
	<ul> <li>Ikenberry, G John. 2011. Liberal Leviathan: The Origins, Crisis, and Transformation of the American World Order. Princeton, N.J.: Princeton University Press, chaps <u>1-2</u>.</li> <li>Johnston, Alastair Iain. 2019. "China in a World of Orders: Rethinking Compliance and Challenge in Beijing's International Relations" International Security</li> </ul>
	44(2): 9-60. Schweller, Randall L. 2001. " <u>The Problem of International Order Revisited: A</u> <u>Review Essay</u> " <i>International Security</i> 26 (1): 161–86.
	<ul> <li>Towns, Ann. 2009. "The Status of Women as a Standard of 'Civilization" European Journal of International Relations 15 (4): 681–706.</li> <li>Go, Julian. 2008. "Global Fields and Imperial Forms: Field Theory and the British and American Empires" Sociological Theory 26 (3): 201–27.</li> </ul>
February 13 [R]	<ul> <li>Early Modern China</li> <li>*Waldron, Arthur. 1994. "Chinese Strategy from the Fourteenth to the Seventeenth Centuries" in Murray et al., <i>The Making of Strategy</i>, 85-115.</li> <li>Lee, Ji-Young. 2016. "<u>Hegemonic Authority and Domestic Legitimation: Japan and Korea under Chinese Hegemonic Order in Early Modern East Asia</u>" <i>Security Studies</i> 25 (2): 320–52.</li> </ul>

Feng, Zhang. 2009. "Rethinking the Tribute System': Broadening the Conceptual
Horizon of Historical East Asian Politics" The Chinese Journal of Internationa
Politics 2 (4): 545–74.

February 18 [T] No Class (Monday Schedule)

February 20 [R]	<ul> <li>England and the United Kingdom</li> <li>*Maltby, William S. 1994. "The Origins of Global Strategy: England from 1558 to 1713" in Murray Williamson et al., eds. <i>The Making of Strategy</i>, 151-177. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1994.</li> <li>*Gooch, John. 1994. "The Weary Titan: Strategy and Policy in Great Britain, 1890-1918" in Murray Williamson et al., eds. <i>The Making of Strategy</i>, 278-306.</li> </ul>
February 25 [T]	<b>Revisionism Revisited</b> *Ward, Steven. 2017. Status and the Challenge of Rising Powers. New York:
	Cambridge University Press, Chapters 1-6 (pp. 1-180). [Paperback due out in January]
February 27 [R]	No Class. Second Short Essay Due.
March 3 [T]	<ul> <li>Hegemony Revisited: Hegemonic Order Theory</li> <li>Goddard, Stacie E. 2018. "Embedded Revisionism: Networks, Institutions, and Challenges to World Order" International Organization 72 (4): 763–97.</li> <li>Henke, Marina E. 2017. "The Politics of Diplomacy: How the United States Builds Multilateral Military Coalitions" International Studies Quarterly 61 (2): 410–24.</li> <li>Musgrave, Paul, and Daniel Nexon. 2018. "Defending Hierarchy from the Moon to the Indian Ocean: Symbolic Capital and Political Dominance in Early Modern China and the Cold War." International Organization 72 (3): 561–90.</li> <li>Ikenberry, G. John and Daniel Nexon. 2019. "Hegemony Studies 3.0: The Dynamics of Hegemonic Orders" Security Studies 28(3): 395-421.</li> <li>Ree Lee, Ji-Young. 2013. "Diplomatic Ritual as a Power Resource: The Politics of Asymmetry in Early Modern Chinese-Korean Relations" Journal of East Asian Studies 13 (2): 309–36.</li> <li>Ree Nexon, Daniel H., and Iver B. Neumann. 2018. "Hegemonic-Order Theory: A Field-Theoretic Account" European Journal of International Relations 24 (3): 662-686.</li> </ul>
March 5 [R]	The United States: Two Postwars
	*Cohen, Eliot A. 1994. "The Strategy of Innocence? The United States 1920-1945" in Murray Williamson et al., eds. <i>The Making of Strategy</i> , 428-465.
	NSC-68. *Gray, Colin S. 1994. "Strategy in the Nuclear Age: The United States, 1945-1991" in Murray Williamson et al., eds. <i>The Making of Strategy</i> , 579-613.
March 10-12	Spring Break
March 17 [T]	Unipolarity and the End of the Cold War <sup>Rec</sup> Mearsheimer, John. 1990. " <u>Back to the Future: Instability in Europe after the</u> <u>Cold War</u> ." <i>International Security</i> 15 (1): 5–56. Krauthammer, Charles. 1991. " <u>The Unipolar Moment</u> ." <i>Foreign Affairs</i> 70 (1): 23-33.

	Layne, Christopher. 1993. "The Unipolar Illusion: Why New Great Powers Will
	Arise." International Security 17 (4): 5–51. Weaklforth William C. 1000. "The Stability of a Unipolar Woold." International
	Wohlforth, William C. 1999. " <u>The Stability of a Unipolar World</u> ." International
	Security 24 (1): 5–41. Montaire Nume P. 2012 "Unnext Assured: Why Unicologity Is Not Descented."
	Monteiro, Nuno P. 2012. " <u>Unrest Assured: Why Unipolarity Is Not Peaceful</u> ." International Security 36 (3): 9–40.
	Norrlof, Carla. 2014. "Dollar Hegemony: A Power Analysis" Review of International
	Political Economy 21 (5): 1042–70.
	Rec Sarotte, Mary Elise. 2010. "Perpetuating U.S. Preeminence: The 1990 Deals to
	Bribe the Soviets Out' and Move NATO In." International Security 35 (1):
	110–37.
	Rec Sarotte, Mary Elise. 2014. " <u>A Broken Promise?</u> " Foreign Affairs, August 11, 2014.
	https://www.foreignaffairs.com/articles/russia-fsu/2014-08-11/broken-
	promise.
	Rec Shifrinson, Joshua R. Itzkowitz. 2014. " <u>Put It in Writing</u> ." Foreign Affairs,
	October 29, 2014. <u>https://www.foreignaffairs.com/articles/united-</u>
	states/2014-10-29/put-it-writing.
	Rec Shifrinson, Joshua R. Itzkowitz. 2016. "Deal or No Deal? The End of the Cold
	War and the U.S. Offer to Limit NATO Expansion." International Security 40
	(4): 7–44.
	Rec Goldgeier, James. 2016. "Promises Made, Promises Broken? What Yeltsin Was
	Told About NATO in 1993 and Why It Matters." War on the Rocks, July 12,
	2016. https://warontherocks.com/2016/07/promises-made-promises-
	broken-what-yeltsin-was-told-about-nato-in-1993-and-why-it-matters/
March 19 [R]	Unipolarity and the End of the Cold War: Soft Power & The LIO?
	Nye, Joseph S. 1990. "Soft Power" Foreign Policy, no. 80: 153–71.
	Deudney, Daniel and G. John Ikenberry. 1999. "The Nature and Sources of Liberal
	International Order" Review of International Studies 25(2): 179-196.
	Williams, Michael C., and Iver B. Neumann. 2000. "From Alliance to Security
	Community: NATO, Russia, and the Power of Identity" Millennium: Journal
	of International Studies 29 (2): 357–87.
	Ikenberry, G. John. 2004. "Liberalism and the Logics of Order in the American
	Unipolar Age" Review of International Studies 30(4): 609-630.
	*Nye, Joseph S. 2008. "Public Diplomacy and Soft Power" The ANNALS of the
	American Academy of Political and Social Science 616 (1): 94–109.

## March 31-April 2 No Class (ISA) – Work on Research Papers

March 31 [T]	Neoconservatives and Iraq
	Kristol, William, and Robert Kagan. 1996. ' <u>Toward a Neo-Reaganite Foreign</u>
	Policy" Foreign Affairs, July 1, 1996.
	Rec Donnelly, Thomas. 2000. "Rebuilding America's Defenses: Strategy, Forces and
	Resources" The Project for the New American Century.
	CNN. 2001. "Transcript of President Bush's address to a joint session of Congress
	on Thursday night, September 20, 2001" September 21.
	The National Security Strategy of the United States of America, 2002.
	Ikenberry, G. John. 2002. "America's Imperial Ambition" Foreign Affairs 81 (5): 44-
	60.
	Kennedy, Edward M. 2002. "Speech Against the Invasion of Iraq." September 27,
	2002. Ø

	Ignatieff, Michael. 2003. "The American Empire: The Burden" New York Times
	<i>Magazine</i> , January 5.
	Rec Donnelly, Thomas. 2002. "The Past as Prologue: An Imperial Manual." Foreign
	Affairs 81 (4): 165–70.
	Bush, George W. 2003. " <u>Text: Bush's Speech on Iraq</u> " The New York Times, March
	18, 2003. 🔗
	Schmidt, Brian C., and Michael C. Williams. 2008. " <u>The Bush Doctrine and the Iraq</u> <u>War: Neoconservatives Versus Realists</u> ." <i>Security Studies</i> 17 (2): 191–220.
	Butt, Ahsan I. 2019. "Why Did the United States Invade Iraq in 2003?" Security Studies 28(2): 250-285.
	Rec Asmus, Ronald D. et al. 2003 "Progressive Internationalism: A Democratic
	National Security Strategy" Progressive Policy Institute, October 30.
	Rec Williams, Michael C. 2005. "What Is the National Interest? The Neoconservative
	<u>Challenge in IR Theory</u> " European Journal of International Relations 11 (3): 307–37.
April 2 [R]	Unilateralism and Soft Balancing
	Rec Brooks, Stephen G., and William C. Wohlforth. 2005. "International Relations
	Theory and the Case against Unilateralism" Perspectives on Politics 3 (3): 509-
	24.
	Pape, Robert A. 2005. "Soft Balancing Against the United States" International
	Security 30 (1): 7–45. 🔗
	Rec Paul, T.V. 2005. "Soft Balancing in the Age of U.S. Primacy" International Security
	30 (1): 46–71.
	Brooks, Stephen G., and William C. Wohlforth. 2005. "Hard Times for Soft
	Balancing" International Security 30 (1): 72–108.
	Lieber, Keir A., and Gerard Alexander. 2005. "Waiting for Balancing: Why the
	World Is Not Pushing Back" International Security 30 (1): 109–39.
April 7 [T]	Power Politics Redux
	Cha, Victor D. 2010. "Powerplay: Origins of the U.S. Alliance System in Asia"
	International Security 34 (3): 158–96. 🔗
	Lake, David A. 1996. "Anarchy, Hierarchy and the Variety of International
	Relations" International Organization 50 (1): 1–33.
	Rec Crawford, Timothy W. 2008. "Wedge Strategy, Balancing, and the Deviant Case
	of Spain, 1940-1941" Security Studies 17 (1): 1–38.
	Goddard, Stacie E. 2008. "When Right Makes Might: How Prussia Overturned the
	European Balance of Power" International Security 33 (3): 110–42.
	Tessman, Brock, and Wojtek Wolfe. 2011. "Great Powers and Strategic Hedging:
	The Case of Chinese Energy Security Strategy" International Studies Review 13
	(2): 214–40.
	Drezner, Daniel. 2019. "Counter-Hegemonic Strategies in the Global Economy"
	Security Studies 28(3): 503-531.
	Farrell, Henry and Abraham L. Newman. 2019. "Weaponized Interdependence:
	How Global Economic Networks Shape State Coercion" International
	Security 44(1): 42-79.
	Rec Izumikawa, Yasuhiro. 2018. "Binding Strategies in Alliance Politics: The Soviet-
	Japanese-US Diplomatic Tug of War in the Mid-1950s" International Studies
	Quarterly 62 (1): 108–20.
	Rec Nexon, Daniel H. 2009. "The Balance of Power in the Balance." World Politics 61
	(2): 330–59.

	Trump & The Return of the Liberal Order Debate Rec Ikenberry, G. John. 2017. " <u>The Plot against American Foreign Policy: Can the</u>
	Liberal Order Survive." Foreign Affairs 96 (1): 2–9.
	Schake, Kori. 2018. "The Trump Doctrine Is Winning and the World Is Losing"
	New York Times, June 15, 2018. 🔗
	Porter, Patrick. 2018. "A World Imagined: Nostalgia and Liberal Order"
	Washington, DC: The Cato Institute.
	Rec Allison, Graham. 2018. " <u>The Myth of the Liberal Order</u> " Foreign Affairs, June 14, 2018.
	Staniland, Paul. 2018. "Misreading the 'Liberal Order': Why We Need New
	Thinking in American Foreign Policy." Lawfare (blog). July 29, 2018.
	Morefield, Jeanne. 2019. " <u>Trump's Foreign Policy Isn't the Problem</u> " <i>Boston Review.</i> January 8, 2019.
	Kagan, Robert. 2018. " <u>The World America Made—and Trump Wants to Unmake</u> " <i>POLITICO</i> , September 28, 2018.
	Rec Mazarr, Michael J. 2018. " <u>The Real History of the Liberal Order</u> " Foreign Affairs, August 7, 2018.
	Glaser, Charles L. 2019. " <u>A Flawed Framework: Why the Liberal International</u> <u>Order Concept is Misguided</u> " <i>International Security</i> 43(4): 51-87.
April 14 [T]	The End of American Hegemony, I?
	Strange, Susan. 1987. "The Persistent Myth of Lost Hegemony" International
	Organization 41 (4): 551–74.
	Cooley, Alexander and Daniel Nexon. 2020. Exit from Hegemony: The Unravelling of the
	American-Led Order. New York: Oxford University Press, Chapter 1-4.
	[Distributed Later]
	Rec Walker, Christopher. 2018. " <u>What Is 'Sharp Power'</u> ?" <i>Journal of Democracy</i> 29 (3): 9–23.
	Rec Walker, Christopher, and Jessica Ludwig. 2017. " <u>The Meaning of Sharp Power</u> " Foreign Affairs, November 16, 2017.
April 16 [R]	The End of American Hegemony, II?
	Cooley, Alexander and Daniel Nexon. 2020. Exit from Hegemony: The Unravelling of the American-Led Order. New York: Oxford University Press, Chapter 5-7.
April 21 [T]	Offshore Balancing, Restraint, & (Deep) Engagement
	Walt, Stephen M. 2019. "The End of Hubris" Foreign Affairs, June 2019.
	Brands, Hal. 2015. " <u>Fools Rush Out? The Flawed Logic of Offshore Balancing</u> " The Washington Quarterly 38 (2): 7–28.
	Rec MacDonald, Paul K., and Joseph M. Parent. 2011. "Graceful Decline? The
	<u>Surprising Success of Great Power Retrenchment</u> " International Security 35 (4): 7–44.
	Parent, Joseph M., and Paul K. MacDonald. 2011. "The Wisdom of Retrenchment:
	<u>America Must Cut Back to Move Forward</u> " Foreign Affairs 90 (6): 32–47. Brooks, Stephen G., G. John Ikenberry, and William C. Wohlforth. 2012. "Don't
	<u>Come Home, America: The Case against Retrenchment</u> " International
	Security 37 (3): 7–51.
	Rec Brooks, Stephen G., G. John Ikenberry, and William C. Wohlforth. 2013. "Lean
	DIDUKS, DECHICH O., O. JUIII INCHDEILY, and WIIIIAIII C. WUIIIUIUI, 2013. LEAII

Schake, Kori. 2019. "Back to Basics" Foreign Affairs, June 2019.

- Wright, Thomas. 2018. "The Return to Great-Power Rivalry Was Inevitable" The Atlantic. September 12, 2018.
- Lind, Jennifer, and William C. Wohlforth. 2019. "<u>The Future of the Liberal Order</u> <u>Is Conservative</u>" February 25, 2019.

#### April 23 [R] ... And From the Left

- Kalyanpur, Nikhil. 2018. "<u>Hegemony, Inequality, and the Quest for Primacy</u>" *Journal of Global Security Studies* 3 (3): 371–84.
- Rapp-Hooper, Mira, and Rebecca Friedman Lissner. 2019. "<u>The Open World</u>" Foreign Affairs, June 2019.
- Jackson, Vann et al. 2018. "<u>Policy Roundtable: The Future of Progressive Foreign</u> <u>Policy</u>" *Texas National Security Review*, December 4.
- Beinart, Peter. 2018. "<u>Bernie Sanders Offers a Foreign Policy for the Common</u> <u>Man</u>" *The Atlantic*, October 15.
- Wright, Thomas. 2019. "The Problem at the Core of Progressive Foreign Policy" The Atlantic, September 12.
- Bessner, Daniel, and Udi Greenberg. 2019. "Foreign Policy Beyond Good and Evil" The Nation.
- Wertheim, Stephen. 2019. "The Only Way to End 'Endless War" New York Times, September 14.

#### April 28 [T] Concluding Themes

- Rec Ashford, Emma M., Hal Brands, Jason J. Castilo, Kate Kizer, Rebecca Friedman Lissner, and Joshua R. Itzkowitz Shifrinson. 2019. "<u>New Voices in Grand</u> <u>Strategy</u>" Micahel J. Zak Grand Strategy Lectures. Washington, DC: Center for a New American Security.
- Hurlburt, Heather. 2019. "More Diplomacy, Less Intervention, but for What? Making Sense of the Grand Strategy Debate" Lawfare, June 7.
- Musgrave, Paul. 2019. "International Hegemony Meets Domestic Politics: Why Liberals can be Pessimists" Security Studies 28(3): 451-478.